IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 11636 of 2005

Between:

B.Veera Kumar, S/o. B.Rama Raju,
R/o. 16-11-349/1, Musarambagh,
Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Director, Pension Payment Officer,
M.J.Market, Hyderabad.
2 The Accountant General, A.G. Office, Saifabad,
Hyderabad.
3 The Director, Directorate of Economics & Statistics,
Kairathabad, Hyderabad.
4 The Dy.Pay & Accounts Officer,
B.R.K.Bhavan, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, or direction or order more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in complying with the provision No. S.R.86 a&b of Treasury Code relating to the Petitioner's P.P.No.06-SGC - 052847 is arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Articles 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the amounts of pension, commutation of pension, Gratuity etc, to the petitioner without application of the provision No.S.R.No.86 a& b of Treasury Code.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.NAGESWARA RAO TURAGA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR FINANCE & PLANNING

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner, who is a retired Government servant, aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not releasing the pension, and consequently, seeking appropriate directions.

2 . The petitioner being an employee of the Government, if there are any disputes with reference to the service and service benefits, he is expected to approach the Administrative Tribunal under the provisions of the A.P. Administrative Tribunals Act, and also as per the decision of the Supreme Court in **L.Chandrakumar v. Union of India.**

3. In the light of the said judgment of the Supreme Court, this Court cannot entertain a writ petition, where the Government employees are approaching this Court directly instead of approaching the Administrative Tribunal before coming to this Court.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

08th July, 2005

SKM
To

1 The Joint Director, Pension Payment Officer,
M.J.Market, Hyderabad.
2 The Accountant General, A.G. Office, Saifabad,
Hyderabad.

3 The Director, Directorate of Economics & Statistics,
Kairathabad, Hyderabad.
4 The Dy.Pay & Accounts Officer,
B.R.K.Bhavan, Hyderabad.

5 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Finance and Planning,

High Court Buildings, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

6 Two CD Copies.